

Keynote presented at the 8th International Conference on Nanochannels, Microchannels and Minichannels, August 1-5, 2010, Montreal, Canada **FEDSM-ICNMM2010-30179**

ON THE HEAT TRANSFER CHARACTERISTICS OF HIGHLY COMPACT HEAT SINKS

<u>Chi-Chuan Wang,</u> PhD, FASME, FASHRAE ccwang@mail.nctu.edu.tw

Department of Mechanical Engineering, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan 300.



Outline

- Background
- Objective
- Ways to augment air-cooling applicable for electronic cooling
 - Interrupted surfaces
 - Vortex generator
 - Oblique dimple & cannelure channels
- Conclusions



Background



Source: *L. T. Yeh, ASME J. Electronic Packaging, vol.117, pp.333—339, (1995).*



Background

- Electronic cooling
 - Air cooling
 - Liquid cooling
 - Single phase
 - Two-phase
 - Refrigeration
 - Thermoelectric
 - • •
- Direct air-cooling is still the most popular way for its simplicity, reliability, and low cost.
- Major Problems for Air-cooling
 - Poor heat transfer characteristics
 - Increase A (fins) to increase heat transfer (higher pressure drop penalty)
 - Noisy
 - Reduce air flow rate







- Seeking ways to enhance air-cooling without considerable rise of pressure drop
 - Focus on cross flow applications
 - Focus at low velocity operation
 - Seeking specific fin patterns to tackle the problem







Experimental setup





國主主通大学 Some common ways for

augmentation

- More Surface Area
- Thermal Boundary Layer Restart
- Instability
- Thermal Wake Management





Various kinds of improvements

- Implementations

- Type I: Plate fin heat sink featuring heat transfer improvement by increasing heat dissipating surface. Generally, smaller fin spacing is used to accommodate more fin surface.
 - Fin spacing can be lower than 1 mm (0.8 mm in this study)
 - fin thickness 0.2 mm



• Type II: Heat sink with interrupted fin geometry which improves convective heat transfer coefficient via periodical renewal of boundary layer such as slit or louver fin.

louver fin



slit fin





Concept of Interrupted surfaces Boundary restart & Mixing



國主主通大學 Interrupted surfaces..

- Provide effective heat transfer augmentations at medium and high velocity with significant pressure drop penalty.
- Nearly ineffective at low velocity but still suffer from considerable pressure drop.
 - Duct flow effect.



SCHEMATIC OF DUCT FLOW VS. FIN-DIRECTED FLOW FOR LOUVER FIN GEOMETRY AT SMALLER AND LARGER FLOW VELOCITIES. (Yang et al. IJHMT, 2007)



Interrupted surfaces..

 Smaller fin spacing accentuates the duct flow effect, resulting in fully developed flow and deteriorate the heat transfer performance.



INVERSE GRAETZ NUUMBER NUMBER X⁺ VS. *j* FOR LOUVER, SLIT AND PLATE FIN. (Yang et al., IJHMT, 2007)



National Chino Tung University Concept of vortex generators

Longitudinal vortex outperforms the transverse vortex



Longitudinal vortex

Transverse vortex







Vortex Generators...

- **Implementations** Type III: Heat sink with dense vortex generator. The enhancements introduce swirl flow, Coanda deflection flow or destabilized flow field from vortex generators or dimple/protrusion structure. The general arrangement is using inline or staggered layout such as semi-circular, delta and dimple vortex generator.





Type IV: Heat sink with loose vortex generator: The enhancements of this category are still vortex generators or dimple/protrusion structure but with sparse arrangement of vortex generator.









Heat sink	Nomenclature	Side view	Dimension		Photos of test sample
(a) Plate	_		-	-	
(b) Delta VG	ş,		_		
(c) Delta VG+Plate			-	-	
(d) Semi- circular VG	$\overbrace{\mathbf{L}_{VG}}^{\mathbb{S}}$	$\left[\begin{array}{c} D & D & D & D & D & D & D & D & D & D $	-	-	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
(e) Triangular VG	β δ			3	
(f) Triangular Attack VG	$S_{t} \xrightarrow{P_{11}} P_{12}$			- 3 Ta	
(g) Dimple VG	$\delta_d = \delta_d$		F.	-	
(h) Two Groups Dimple VG	$S_{d} = \begin{bmatrix} L \\ P_{d2} \\ P_{d1} \end{bmatrix} H$		F.	-	



The original concept of Using dimple..









- Drag reduction
- Longitudinal Vortices
- In this study, fin thickness is 0.2 mm,
- the length of cavity is 2 mm, effective cavity depth is 0.3 mm

図 注意通大学 Performance comparison Fin spacing = 0.8 mm



図 注意通た学 Performance evaluation based on VG-1 Criterion

•Vortex generators fin operated at a higher frontal velocity and arrangement of loose vortex generator is more beneficial.

•The results show that when frontal velocities as 3~5 m/s and the fin with enhancement as triangular, triangular attack and two-groups dimple effectively reduce required surface area. The type II and type III fin geometry possesses the lower heat transfer coefficient in most situations along with their significant pressure drops lift them out of the choice of vortex generator subject to the VG-1 criteria.

•The asymmetric combination using heat sink with loose vortex generator (Type IV) fin can be quite effective.





An extra problem for some VG & interrupted surfaces



Very small fin spacing also jeopardize the formation of LVG



So, what's next?

• Oblique Dimples with cannelure structure





The original idea for oblique dimple..

- Concavity + Dimple
- Lengthen the flow path
- No need for significant amount dimples
 - Reduce the number of dimples to decrease the pressure drop





The idea of cannelure channels..









Heat Transfer Research 25(1), 1993, 22-56



More than 20% increase HTC & 20% reduction in pressure drop

Results:







国立正通大学

National Chiao Tung University



主主通大学 Why cannelure structure is working? - One possible reason

- Reduce the BL thickness to improve the heat transfer performance for fully developed region.
- It acts like a "suction" device.





Evidence from previous data



Fig. 13. Friction and heat transfer on indented walls. \Box - the dynamic (velocity) boundary layer; Δ - the thermal boundary layer.







No.	D, mm	H, mm	R, mm	t, mm	h, mm	f, %
1	7.5	0.5	13.32	13.3	11.5	25
2	6.0	0.4	10.20	10.6	9.1	25
3	4.5	0.3	7.65	8.0	7.5	25
4	7.5	0.5	13.32	9.4	8.1	50
5	6.0	0.4	10.20	7.5	6.5	50
6	4.5	0.3	7.65	5.6	4.9	50
7	7.5	0.5	13.32	8.4	7.3	70
. 8	6.0	0.4	10.20	6.4	5.5	70
9	4.5	0.3	7.65	4.8	4.2	70

Fig. 12. Geometric parameters of the walls studied.



Conclusions

- The test fin patterns can be classified into four categories, namely the base plain fin heat sink (Type I), interrupted fin geometry (Type II), dense vortex generator (Type III), loose vortex generator (Type IV) and their combinations.
- It is found that the heat transfer performance is strongly related to the developing/fully developed flow characteristics. The result from the present experiment suggests that the asymmetric combination using loose vortex generator arrangement (Type IV) can be quite effective.
- The triangular attack VG is regarded as the optimum enhancement design for it could reduce 12~15% surface area at a frontal velocity of 3 m/s~5 m/s. The asymmetric design is still applicable even when the fin spacing is reduced to 0.8 mm.



Conclusions

- Combined Con-cavity and dimple is quite effective in heat transfer and pressure drop reduction, provided the numbers are low.
- Cannelure structure may reduce the boundary layer thickness to further reduce pressure drop.
- The cannelure structure is especially effective at fully developed region.
- In the best condition, more than 20% increase in HTC and 20% reduction of pressure drop is achieved.



Acknowledgements

- Financial supports provided by the Energy Bureau from the Ministry of Economic Affairs & National Science Council, Taiwan.
- Technical Support from Dr. K.S. Yang
- Major Collaborating Professors: Y.T. Lin (YZU), I.Y. Chen (Yulin Tech. Univ.)







Fig. 18. Pressure distribution along a section through an isolated cylindrical groove in the case of nonseparated cross flow (boundary layer adhering to the wall.)



Fig. 19. Friction and heat transfer along the section of a cylindrical trench in the case of nonseparated cross flow.
 □, Δ - same as in Fig. 13.





Fig. 15. Distributions of the local friction coefficients and Stanton number on the middle longitudinal (a) and middle cross (b) sections of the pit.
□ - dynamic (velocity) boundary layer; Δ - the thermal boundary layer.